### REMARKS

Claims 1-13 are pending; claims 1-10 have been amended and claims 11-13 have been added.

We have enclosed an English translation of Form PCT/IPEA/409 as requested by the examiner.

Claim 12 has been added to emphasize that the heart of the invention is the amount of heat input to the polymer coating. By adjusting the heat input, the release rate of the CR granules in the soil can be controlled, i.e. increasing the rate within the claimed range leads to increased release rates.

This claim is supported, *inter alia*, on page 1, line 45, to page 2, line 3; page 55, lines 26-33 and Table 14b on page 57. Claim 13 is supported by Table 14b and page 1, lines 5-9.

The rejections under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, are believed to have been overcome by amendment to claims 1 and 9. The phrase in claim 9, i.e. "to act on plants, their environment of on seed" has been changed to "comprises applying the CR granules of claim 1 to the soil, which contains or will contain seeds or plants." Support for the language can be found on page 31, lines 14 -24.

Claim 10 was rejected under 35 USC § 112, first paragraph, on the basis that:

"One of ordinary skill in art of soil application of granules, would not know what pests could be controlled, and what is meant by control, with allowance of action of any of the unspecified active containing granules of

claim 1, allowed to act as per claim 10."

Control or controlling has its ordinary meaning in this art, i.e., prevention of the flourishing of something undesirable, which is also a dictionary meaning (*Random House Webster's College Dictionary*, Random House, N.Y., 2000, page 291, copy enclosed). The term is common in the art as confirmed by Sauer et al. (see Abstract and page 15, lines 16-18). The pests to be controlled are stated in the claim, i.e., phytopathogenic fungi, undesired vegetation, and undesired insects. The active ingredients in the CR granules are known in the art or are ones, which can control the recited undesirables. This person would also be aware that active ingredients which have the ability to control the recited undesirables are included within the claims. The specification includes many examples of such active-ingredients known to control the specified undesirables.

It would appear that the examiner is questioning the "enablement" in his specific objections to the claim under 35 USC § 112, first paragraph, not the description requirement as indicated in the initial sentence of this rejection.

"The test of enablement is whether one reasonably skilled in the art could make or use the invention from the disclosure in the patent coupled with information known in the art without undue experimentation". MPEP 2164.01. It is believed that this art, e.g., Sauer et. al. (CA 2178655), and the specification provide ample means for carrying out the invention without undue experimentation. Even the examiner implicitly

acknowledges that the pests to be controlled and suitable pesticides are well known in the art of CR granules for soil application (page 6, third paragraph of office action).

Thus, once of ordinary skill in the art would know the pests to be controlled by the active-ingredient granules.

Claims 1-3 and 8-10 stand rejected as anticipated under 35 USC § 102 (b) by Sauer et al. (CA 2178655).

Contrary to the examiner's conclusion there is no evidence that "the same coating in the same equipment by the same method as is instantly claimed" was performed by Sauer et al.

The claimed process requires that the inlet air temperature and the outlet air temperature, the gas volume flow, total sample residence time and amount of polymer in the batch be known and correlated in order to produce the CR granules (page 57, lines 16-25). It requires all these parameters to calculate the claimed heat input values.

The only parameters identified by examples 1 and 9 are the inlet temperatures, possibly the sample residence time and amount of polymer in the batch. There is no way of knowing what the heat input to the polymer coating is in these or any of the other examples of Sauer et al. since the outlet temperatures and the gas volume flow are not given and cannot be calculated from the available data. Thus, the "same method" is not taught by the reference. Thus, the claimed process is not anticipated by Sauer et al.

As for the product claims, Sauer et al. gives no indication in the examples as to the release rate of the CR granules. The claimed products have release rates that are correlated with the heat inputs of the polymer coating (Table 14b). Thus, there is no evidence that the CR granules in the examples of Sauer et al. anticipate these claims.

Claims 1-3 and 8-10 stand rejected as being unpatentable over Sauer et al. in view of Rei (US4,663,359) or Arnold (EPO58256).

The inclusion of Rei and Arnold do not make up for the deficiencies of Sauer et al. since they do not even prepare CR granules, much less CR granules by a fluidized bed process.

As discussed in the previous rejection the art does not disclose CR granules prepared by the claimed method which inherently have a release rate that is correlated with the heat impact to the polymer coating. The claimed process is also not taught or suggested by Sauer et al. since the reference (1) does not disclose the claimed heat input range (2) does not furnish sufficient details to calculate the heat input and (3) does not teach that the release rate of the CR granules can be controlled by operating at a heat input to the polymer coating of from 6,000 to 5,000 kJ/kg.

In view of the above amendments and comments it is believed that the claims are in condition for allowance. Such action by the examiner is respectfully solicited.

Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including Extension of Time fees to Deposit Account No. 11-0345. Please credit

STADLER et al., Serial No. 09/762,044

any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

**KEIL & WEINKAUF** 

Edward J. Smith Reg. No. 24,070

1350 Connecticut Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036 (202)659-0100

EJS/sb/kas

### **VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE**

Amend claims 1-10 and add new claims 11-13 as follows:

- (amended) Controlled release (CR) [Soil-applied CR] granules [obtainable] for soil-application obtained by applying an active-ingredient-comprising coating to a solid carrier in a fluidized bed with a defined heat input adjustable to 6000 to 25,000 kJ/kg [KJ/KG] of coating polymer.
- 2. (amended) The [Soil-applied] CR granules of [as claimed in] claim 1 with an active-ingredient-comprising coating of
  - 0.1-25% by weight of one or more active ingredients
  - 1-40% by weight of one or more coating polymers
  - 0-60% by weight of one or more additives,
  - the total of the % by weight of the compounds in the coatings being 100% by weight.
- 3. (amended) The [Soil-applied] Cr granules of [as claimed in] claim 2 comprising, as coating polymer, a dispersion from amongst the following groups: butyl acrylate/styrene copolymers, copolymer dispersions of acrylic and methacrylic esters, polyethylene wax emulsions, polyesters composed of the following units: 50 mol% dimethyl terephthalate + approx. 50 mol% adipic acid= 150 mol% 1,4-butanediol + trace elements, mixture of 10-95% polyvinyl acetate + 5-90% N-vinylpyrrolidone-comprising polymers, ethylene/methacrylic acid zinc salt.
- 4. (amended) The [Soil-applied] CR granules of [as claimed in] claim 3 comprising, as

coating polymer, at least one from amongst the group of the biodegradable polyesters.

5. (amended) The [Soil-applied] CR granules of [as claimed in] claim 1 comprising, as active ingredient, at least one fungicidal compound of the formula 1 from amongst the class of the strobilurins [.]

in which the substituents have the following meanings:

A is NOCH<sub>3</sub>, CHOCH<sub>3</sub>, CHCH<sub>3</sub>;

Y is O, NH;

T is oxygen or oxymethylene;

Z is a group X,  $N=C(R^1)W$  or  $N=C(R^1)-C(R^2)=NOR^3$ ;

- X is unsubstituted or substituted heterocyclyl, unsubstituted or substituted aryl, unsubstituted or substituted hetaryl;
- W is unsubstituted or substituted alkyl, unsubstituted or substituted alkenyl, unsubstituted or substituted alkynyl, unsubstituted or substituted cycloalkyl, unsubstituted or substituted or

- substituted heterocyclyl, unsubstituted or substituted aryl or unsubstituted or substituted hetaryl;
- R¹ is hydrogen, cyano,  $C_1$ - $C_4$ -alkyl,  $C_1$ - $C_4$ -haloalkyl,  $C_1$ - $C_4$ -alkoxy,  $C_1$ - $C_4$ -alkoxy- $C_1$ - $C_4$ -alkyl,  $C_3$ - $C_6$ -cycloalkyl;
- R<sup>2</sup> is hydrogen, cyano, halogen, C(R<sup>d</sup>)=NOR<sup>3</sup> or W, OW, SW or NR<sup>c</sup>W, where
- R<sup>c</sup> is hydrogen, alkyl, alkenyl or alkynyl;
- R<sup>d</sup> is hydrogen or alkyl;
- R<sup>3</sup> is hydrogen, unsubstituted or substituted alkyl, unsubstituted or substituted alkenyl or unsubstituted or substituted alkynyl, or a salt thereof.
- 6. (amended) The [Soil-applied] CR granules of [as claimed in] claim 1, comprising an active ingredient from the group of the systemically acting strobilurins, the azoles or the salicylates.
- 7. (amended) <u>The [Soil-applied]</u> CR granules <u>of [as claimed in] claim 1, comprising, as active ingredient, S-methyl benzo[1,2,3]thiadiazole-7-carbothioate.</u>
- 8. (amended) <u>The [Soil-applied]</u> CR granules <u>of [as claimed in] claim 1, comprising, as carrier, water-soluble, water-insoluble or biodegradable granules.</u>
- 9. (amended) A process for the preparation of [Soil-applied] the CR granules [as claimed in] of claim 1, which comprises applying, to a carrier, first the active ingredient and then the coating comprising at least one coating polymer and, [if

- appropriate] optionally additives in a fluidized bed, micropores being generated in the coating by abrasion or by the direction of water-soluble additives [(lime, starch)].
- 10. (amended) A method for controlling phytopathogenic fungi, undesired vegetation, undesired attack by insects and/or for regulating the growth of plants, which comprises [allowing Soil-applied] applying the CR granules [as claimed in] of claim 1 to [act on plants, their environment or on seed] the soil which contains or will contain seeds or plants therein.
- 11. (new) The process of claim 9 wherein the coating contains lime or starch as water-soluble additives.
- 12. (new) In a process for the preparation of CR granules for soil-application by applying an active-ingredient-comprising polymer coating to a solid carrier in a fluidized bed, the improvement of controlling the release rate of the granules by operating at a heat input to the polymer coating of from 6000 to 25,000 kJ/kg.
- 13. (new) The process of claim 12 wherein the heat input is from about 8200 to about 16,000 kJ/kg.

291

ded or shaped to fit a particular contour ded or snaped to it a particular action a particular all a particular and contours of the slopes in order to prevent a transfer of contours of the slopes in order to prevent a transfer a contour a transfer a contour a transfer and contours a contour a transfer and contours a contour a transfer and contours a contour a contou intorno, der. of contornare to outline]

one of the feathers that form the suriag iding those of the wings and tail. [1865-70] ine representing the locus of points at the same phic surface. [1835-45]

topographic map on which the shape of the by contour lines, the relative spacing of the ative slope of the surface. [1860-65]

contraction. 3. contralto. 4. contrary, 5, or

controller.

\*\*rp. 1. against; in opposition or contrast a contrary. [1350–1400; ME < L contral aning "against," "opposite," "opposing" contral prefixal use of adv. and prep. contral aning "pitched lower than" the voice or installating element; contrallating controllers. following element: contralto; contrabassoon f

COUNTERPOINT)

rə band'), n. 1. anything prohibited by law fin ported. 2. goods imported or exported illegally 1 rade. 4. (during the Civil War) a black slave to ought within the Union lines. —adj. 5. prohib rt. [1520-30; earlier contrabanda < Sp < li a contra-1 + ML bandum, var. of bannum in son'tra ban'dist), n. smuggler. [1810-20; c n/tra•band/ism, n.

ra bas'), n. 1. Double BASS. -adj. 2. pitched iss in a family of instruments. [1590-1600; 3 tra- contra-2 + basso Bass] —con/tra-basy

(kon/tra ba soon/, ba-), n. a bassoon land ower in pitch than the ordinary bassoon; a do contra- + Bassoon] —con/tra-bas-soon/it. kon'tra sep'shan), n. the deliberate preventing egnation by any of various drugs, technique ol. [1885-90; contra-1 + (con)ception] (kon/tra sep/tiv), adj. 1. tending or serving impregnation. 2. pertaining to contraception levice, drug, foam, etc. [1890–95]

and usu for v. 16-18, 22, 23 kon trakt; other an agreement between two or more parties ( of something specified. 2. an agreement entire written form of such an agreement. 4. the distance of the contracts. 5. Also called contract bridge. which the side that wins the bid can eam umber of tricks named in the contract, add ed above the line. 6. (in auction or contract by the declaring team to take six tricks by the final bid made. so specified, plus six. 7. the formal agreements of the formal agreements. il. 3. Slang. an arrangement for a hired assesson. —adj. 9. under contract; governed or any son. —aaj. 9. under contract; governed or act: a contract carrier. —vt. 10. to draw togethers to contract the brows. 12. to shorten (a word, go or omitting some of its elements. 13. to make the contract the brows. 12. to shorten (a word, go or omitting some of its elements. 13. to make the contract the brows. 13. to make the contract the brows. estrict. 14. to get, as by exposure to somethic a disease. 15. to incur, as a liability or to t. 16. to settle or establish by agreement to assign (a job unod). to assign (a job, work, project, etc.) by combination of the freedance of

His pupils contracted in the light. 22. to enig contract out, to hire an outside contractor act. [1275-1325; (n.) ME (< AF) < L config t transaction, an agreement = contrac, val. in, bring together, enter into an agreement ig, pull; cf. TRACTION) + tus suffix of v. actions to of contrahere —con/tract-ee/, n. —c tract/i-bil/i-ty, con-tract/i-ble-ness, n. can trak/tl, -til), adj. capable of contracting '00-10] -con-trac-til-i-ty (kon/trak til/i tê) (ken trak/shen), n. 1. an act or instance of o of words, with the omitted letters often by an apostrophe, as isn't for is not, the le er. 4, the change in a muscle by which 5. a decrease in economic and in 425; ( < MF) < L contractio = contrac, val 425; (< Mr) < L contractio = contract,

NTRACT) + -tio -TION] —con-trac/tion-al, , adj. —con-trac/tive-ness, n. —Usage. can't, he'll) occur chiefly, although not each and writing. They are common in person, journalism, and fiction; rare in scientific, s. journalism, and fiction are in scientific. con•trac/tive•ness, n. ontractions in formal writing usu, represent (kon/trak tər, kən trak/tər), n. 1. a persen sh supplies or perform work at a certain

construction. 2. a th nat contracts, esp. a muscle. [1540-50; <

(L) contrac-tu-al (kan trak/choo al), adj. of, pertaining to, or secured by a contract. [1860-65; < L contractus, s. of contractus contract + -con-trac/tu-al-ly, adv.

con-traceture (kan trak/char), n. an abnormal persistent flexing of a on-traction at a joint, usu, caused by a shortening or scarring of a suscle or tendon at a joint, usu, caused by a shortening or scarring of 1650-60; < L] —con-trac/tured, adj.

contra-dict (kon/tra dikt/), v.t. 1. to assert the contrary or opposite wideny categorically. 2. to speak contrary to the assertions of: to contradict oneself. 3. to imply a denial of: His way of life contradicts his contract. mand onests. John to oppose [1560-70]: < L contradicts, ptp. of confidence to speak against = contra-contra-t + dicere to speak]

-con/tra-dit/a-ble, adj. —con/tra-dit/er, con/tra-dic/tor, n.

con-tra-diction (kon'tra-dik/shan), n. 1. the act of contradicting. 2. assertion of the contrary or opposite; denial. 3. a statement or propoation that contradicts or denies another or itself and is logically incongruous. 4. direct opposition between things compared; inconsistcongruous.

The contradictory act, fact, etc. [1350-1400; ME (< AF) < L]

contradictory (kon'tra dik'ta re), adj., n., pl. ries. —adj. 1. intolving contradiction; inconsistent; contradictory statements. 2. tendag or inclined to contradict. —n. 3. Logic. a proposition so related to a second that it is impossible for both to be true or both to be false. 1350-1400; ME < LL] —con/tra-dic/to-ri-ly, adv. —con/tra-dic/torieness, R

con-tra-dis-tinc-tion (kon/tra di stingk/shan), n. distinction by onposition or contrast: plants and animals in contradistinction to hu-[1640-50] -con/tra-dis-tinc/tive, adj. -con/tra-dis-tinc/-

con-tra-dis-tin-guish (kon/tra di sting/gwish), v.t. to distinguish by contrasting opposite qualities. [1615-25]

contrail (kon'tral'), n. a visible condensation of water droplets or recrystals from the atmosphere, occurring in the wake of an aircraft, mokel, or missile. [1940–45; con(densation) trail]

constrasin-di-cate (kon'fra in'di kāt'), v.t., -cat-ed, -cat-ing, to make (a procedure or treatment) inadvisable. [1660-70] —con'train/di-cant (-kant), n. -con/tra-in/di-ca/tion, n.

con-tra-lat-er-al (kon/tra lat/ar al), adj. (of the body) pertaining to, situated on, or coordinated with the opposite side. [1880-85]

con-tral-to (kan tral-to), n., pl. -tos. 1. the lowest female voice or coice part, intermediate between soprano and tenor. 2. a singer with a contralto voice: [1720-30]; < lt, = contr(a) con-tra-2 + alto Alto] con-tra-po-si-tion (kon/tra-po-zish/an), n. 1. placement opposite or against. 2. opposition or antithesis. 3. the inference drawn from a proposition by negating its terms and changing their order, as by intering "not B implies not A" from "A implies B." [1545-55]; < LL] con-tra-position (kon/tra-position) described in [1545-55]; < LL] tening not be impressive. Rom'tra poz'i tiv). Logic. —adj. 1. of or pertaining to contraposition. —n. 2. a contrapositive statement. [1855–60] con-trap-tion (kan trap/shan), n. a mechanical contrivance; gadget;

device. [1815-25; perh. contr(ivance) + (ad)aption, var. of ADAPTA con-tra-pun-tal (kon'tra pun'tl), adj. 1. of or involving musical counterpoint. 2. composed of two or more relatively independent mel-

odies sounded together. [1835-45; < It contrappunt(o) (< ML contrappunt(s) + .s.l. See counterpoint] —con/tra-pun/tal-ly, adv. con-tra-pun-tist (kon/tra pun/tist), n. a person skilled in the prac-

ice of counterpoint. [1770-80; < It contrappuntista]

constrar-i-an (kan trâr/ē an), n. 1. a person who takes an opposing view, esp. one who rejects the majority opinion, as in economic matters.—adi, 2. disagreeing with or proceeding against current opin-ion or established practice. [1975–80]

Contrastise-ty (kon/tra ri/t ite), n., pl. -ties. 1. the quality or state of bring contrary. 2. something contrary or of opposite character; a contrary cat or statement. [1350–1400; ME (< AF) < LL]

con trar-l-ous (kən trar/e əs), adj. perverse; refractory. ME (< AF) < L contrarius contrary; see -ous] —con-trar/i-ous-ly, adv. -con-trar/i-ous-ness, n.

adv.—con-trar/i-ous-ness, n.

Con-trar-i-wise (kon/trer ē wiz/ or, for 3, kan trār/-), adv. 1. in the

Opposite direction or way. 2. on the contrary; in direct opposition to a

Ralement, attitude, etc. 3. perversely. [1300-50]

Con-trar-y (kon/trer ē; for 5 also kan trār/ē), adj., n., pl. -trar-ies,
adv.—adj. 1. opposite in nature or character; diametrically or mutuly opposed: contrary to fact; contrary beliefs. 2. opposite in direction

or position; contrary motion.

3 being the apposite one of two. 4, unor position: contrary motion. 3. being the opposite one of two. 4. unor position: contrary motion. 3. being the opposite one of two. 4. undecorable or adverse: contrary winds. 5. perverse; obstinate; stubdon't opposed or willful. —n. 6. something that is contrary or oppotive. 1. either of two contrary things. 8. Logic. a proposition so related
the as with the proposition may not be true though both may be
the nas with the propositions: "All judges are male" and "No judges
the nas with the proposition; oppositely; counter: to act contrary
to me: principles. —Idiom. 10. by contraries, Archaic. contrary to
the contrary. 1. on the contrary, in opposition to what has been
tar to the contrary, to the opposite effect: whatever you may
tar to the contrary. [1200-50; ME contrarie < AF < L contrarius. See

The contraries of the contrarie of the contraries of the contraries. TRALI, ARY - con/trar-i-ly (kon/trer ə lē, kən trâr/-), adv. --con/ Wareleness, n.

Chitrast (v. kan trast', kon'trast; n. kon'trast), v.t. 1. to compare in contrast (v. kan trast', kon'trast; n. kon'trast), v.t. 1. to comparate to show unlikeness or differences; note the opposite qualities of 2 to exhibit unlikeness on comparison with something else; a contrast 3 (of linguistic elements as speech sounds) to differ 2 contrast. 3. (of linguistic elements, as speech sounds) to differ a way that one of the second se a contrast. 3. (of linguistic elements, as special -n. 4. the act of a superstanting -n. 4. the act of contrasting -n. 4. the act of contrasting -n. 5. The state of being contrasted. 5. The state of being contrasted -n. 5. The state of being contrasted -n.

unlikeness. 6. a on or thing that is strikingly unlike in comparison. 7. opposition or juxtaposition of different forms, lines, or colors of art. 8. the relative difference between light and dark areas of a photographic print or negative. 9, the brightness ratio of the lightest to the darkest part of a television screen image. 10. a difference between linguistic elements, esp. sounds, that can serve to distinguish meanings. [1480-90; (v.) < MF contraster < It contraster to contest < L contra-contrast + stare to STAND; (n.) earlier contrast < < F < It contrasto conflict, der. of contrastare] —con-trast/a-ble, adi. -con-trast/a-bly, adv.

con-tras-tive (kan tras/tiv), adj. 1. tending to contrast; contrasting.
2. of or pertaining to the study of the similarities and differences between languages or dialects without reference to their origins: contrastive analysis. [1810–20] —con•tras/tive•ly, adv.

con/trast me/dium, n. a radiopaque substance introduced into a part of the body to provide a contrasting background for the tissues in an x-ray examination. [1950–55]

con-trast-y (kən tras/tē, kon/tras-), adj. Photog. having or producing a preponderance of dark and light tones. [1890-95]

con-tra-vene (kon/tra vēn/), v.t., -vened, -ven-ing. 1. to come or be in conflict with; deny or oppose: to contravene a statement. 2. to go or act against; violate: to contravene the law. [1560-70; < LL contravenire = L contra against + venire to come -con/tra-ven/er, n.

con-tra-ven-tion (kon/tra ven/shan), n. an act of contravening; violation or opposition. [1570-80; contravene + -tion; cf. MF contrevent tion1

con-tre-danse (kon'tra dans', däns'; Fr. kôn tradäns'), n., pl. danses (dan'siz, dän'; Fr. däns'). 1. a quadrille in which the dancers face each other. 2. music for such a dance. [1795-1805; \$\xi\$ | \$\xi\$ contractorous counter. + danse dance, misrendering of E country-dance, by assoc. with the characteristic arrangement of dancers in rows facing each other]

con-tre-temps (kon/trə tän/; Fr. kôntrə tän/), n., pl. temps (-tänz/; Fr. -tan/), an inoportune occurrence; an embarrassing mischance. [1675–85; < F, = contre-counter- + temps time ( < L tempus); perh. alter. (by folk etym.) of MF contrestant, prp. of contrester to oppose]

contrib., 1. contribution. 2. contributor. con-trib-ute (kən trib/yoot), v., -ut-ed, -ut-ing. —v.t. 1. to give (money, assistance, etc.) along with others, as to a common supply or fund. 2: to furnish (an article, drawing, etc.) for publication. —v.i. 3. to give money, food, etc., to a common supply or fund. 4. to furnish works for publication. — Idiom. 5. contribute to, to be an important factor in. [1520-30; < L contributus, ptp. of contributer to bring together. See con-, TRIBUTE] — con-trib/u-tive, adj. — con-trib/u-tive-ly, adv. — con-trib/u-tive-ness, n. — con-trib/u-tor, n.

con-tri-bu-tion (kon/tra byoo/shan), n. 1. the act of contributing. 2. 

1400; ME (< AF) < LL] —con/tri-bu/tion-al, adj.
con-trib-u-to-ry (kən trib/yə tôr/e, -tôr/e), adj. 1. pertaining to or of the nature of contribution; contributing. 2. furnishing something to or or the nature of contribution; contributing. 2. furnishing something to an insurance or pension plan whose premiums are paid by contributions from both employee and employer. [1375-1425; late ME < ML]

con-trite (kan trit', kon'trit), adj. 1. caused by or showing sincere remorse. 2. filled with a sense of guilt and the desire for atonement; penitent: a contrite sinner. [1300-50; ME contrit (< AF) < L contritus worn down, crushed, ptp. of conterere. See con-, TRITE] -contrite/ly, adv. —con-trite/ness, n.

con-tri-tion (kan trish/an), n. sincere penitence or remorse. [1250-1300; ME (< AF) < LL]

con-triv-ance (kan tri/vans), n. 1. something contrived, esp. a mechanical device. 2. the act, manner, or faculty of contriving. 3. a plan or scheme; expedient. [1620-30]

con-trive (kan trīv'), v., -trived, -triv-ing. -v.t. 1. to plan with ingenuity; devise; invent: to contrive a means of escape. 2. to bring about by a plan, scheme, etc.; manage: He contrived to gain their votes. 3. to plot (evil, treachery, etc.). —v.i. 4. to form designs; plan. 5. to plot. [1275-1325; AF contreuv, tonic s. of controver to devise, invent, OF: to decide, agree upon < LL contropare to compare = con + \*tropare (> F trouver to find)] —con-triv/a-ble, adj. —con-triv/er, n. \*tropare (> F

con-trived (kan trivd/), adj. obviously planned or forced; artificial; strained: a contrived story. [1505-15] —con-triv/ed-ly, adv.

con-trol (kan trōl'),  $\nu$ ., -trolled, -trol-ling, n. —v.t. 1. to exercise restraint or direction over; dominate, regulate, or command. 2. to hold in check; curb: to control one's emotions. 3. to test or verify (a scientific experiment) by a parallel experiment or other standard of comparison. 4. to prevent the flourishing or spread of: to control a forest fire. —v.i. 5. to exercise control. —n. 6. the act or power of controlling; regulation; domination or command: Who's in control here? 7. check or restraint: My anger was under control. 8. a legal or official means of regulation or restraint: wage and price controls; gun control. 9. a. a standard of comparison in scientific experimentation. b. a per-9. d. a standard of comparison in scientific experimentation. **b.** a person or subject that serves in such a comparison. **10.** a person who acts as a check; controller. **11.** a device for regulating, guiding, or directing the operation of a machine, apparatus, or vehicle. **12.** controlls, a coordinated arrangement of such devices. **13.** prevention of the flourishing of something undesirable: rodent control. 14. a spiritual agency believed to assist a medium at a séance. [1425-75; late ME co(u)ntrollen (v.) < AF contreroller to keep a duplicate account or

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

8

Applicant's or agent's file reference 0050/049248		c Notification of Transmittel of Internation climinary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416
International application No. PCT/EP99/05407	International filing date (day/mont 28 July 1999 (28.07.99	
International Patent Classification (IPC) o A01N 25/26	r national classification and IPC	
Applicant	BASF AKTIENGESELLSC	HAFT
Authority and is transmitted to the		by this International Preliminary Examining
been amended and are the (see Rule 70.16 and Section	anied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the basis for this report and/or sheets control of the Administrative Instruction total of sheets.	description, claims and/or drawings which have aining rectifications made before this Authority is under the PCT).
3. This report contains indications rel	ating to the following items:	
1 Basis of the repo	n	
. Priority		
III Non-establishmen	nt of opinion with regard to novelty, in	ventive step and industrial applicability
IV Lack of unity of i	nvention	
V Reasoned stateme	ent under Article 35(2) with regard to n anations supporting such statement	ovelry, inventive step or industrial applicability:
VI Cortain document	s cited	
	the international application	
<u>—</u>	ons on the international application	
	D. A.	
Date of submission of the demand		letion of this report
15 January 2000 (15.0	01.00)	05 December 2000 (05.12.2000)
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authorized of	Micer
facsimile No.	Telephone No	).

International application No.

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

PCT/EP99/05407

		l application as originally filed	
$\boxtimes$	the description,	pages 1-56	as originally filed.
		pages	
		• -	filed with the letter of
		pages	, filed with the letter of
$\boxtimes$	the claims.	Nos. 1-11	. as originally filed.
_		Nos.	, as amended under Article 19,
		Nos.	, filed with the demand.
		Nos.	, filed with the letter of
		Nos.	, filed with the letter of
	the drawings.	sheets/fig	, as originally filed,
		sheets/fig	, filed with the demand,
		sheets/fig	. filed with the letter of
		sheets/fig	_ , fited with the letter of
		Nos	
	the drawings.	sheets/fig	
L to g	report has been eso beyond the discle	osure as filed, as indicated in th	mendments had not been made, since they have been considered be Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).

### ternational application No.

PCT/EP 99/05407

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

, Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	5,7	YES
	Claims	1-4, 6,8-11	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
	Claims	1-11	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-11	YES
	Claims		 NO

Citations and explanations

This report makes reference to the following search report citations:

D1 WO-A-95 16350

D2 EP-A-0 868 912.

The present application refers to CR soil granules produced by applying a coating containing an active ingredient to a solid carrier in a fluidised bed with a heat supply adjustable in a defined way. It also refers to a method for producing these granules, to the use thereof and a method for controlling phythopathogenic mushrooms, undesired plant growth, undesired insect infestation and/or for regulation of plant growth.

1. Examples disclosed in D1 do not directly seem to show how high the supplied heat is per kg coat polymer proportion. The use of this parameter does not generally seem to be standard in the present field. However, the range given in present Claim 1 from 6000 to 25000 kJ/kg coat polymer proportion seems to result only from the generally standard parameters such as temperature and gas speed. These parameters do not appear to differ from those

## PCT/EP 99/05407

ternational application No.

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

PCT Article 33(2).

disclosed in D1 (see Examples). The subject matter of present Claims 2 to 4 and 6 and 8 to 10 cannot be considered novel in view of D1 (see D1: page 6, line 4 ff.; page 4, line 43 - page 5, line 15; page 3, line 7ff). The requirement for novelty cannot thus be acknowledged for the aforementioned claims and

the application does not meet the requirements of

- 2. Dl does not disclose strobilurin or granules containing the compound 1,2,3-benzthiadiazol-7carboxylic acid-5-methyl-thioester. Present Claims 5 and 7 thus meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(2).
- 3. At present, it is not clear to what extent the newly considered subject matter of the claim can be considered to involve an inventive step. The requirements of PCT Article 33(3) are thus not satisfied.
- 4. <u>Industrial applicability</u> (PCT Article 33(4))

Industrial applicability is acknowledged for Claims 1 to 11.

# mational application No. PCT/EP 99/05407

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: VI

D2 was not published after the priority date of the present application but before the date of its international application. If the priority of the present application were not valid, its content would therefore be considered part of the prior art. Moreover, the applicant should note that D2 would be considered in the European procedure as prejudicial to novelty within the meaning of Article 54(3) EPC (Example 6).

# PCT/EP 99/05407

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

- 1. The claimed range according to Claim 1 does not appear to be supported by the explanations of the present description. In Example 12 it is only proven that a difference in the amount of heat supplied leads to different leaching rates. However, the limits of the claimed range do not appear to result directly from the experimental values since they vary only between 8282 and 16322 kJ/kg (PCT Article 6).
- 2. The reference to the substituents described in the citation WO/15552 (page 21, lines 24-25) is not permissible since a person skilled in the art is not thereby capable of carrying out the invention.